

Sample	Sample Generic 4-point Argumentative Writing Rubric (Grades 6-11)				
Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		0
	Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	Conventions
4	The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: • claim is clearly stated, focused and strongly maintained • alternate or opposing claims are clearly addressed* • claim is introduced and communicated clearly within the context	The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: • effective, consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies • logical progression of ideas from beginning to end • effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose • strong connections among ideas, with some syntactic variety	The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves substantial depth that is specific and relevant: • use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, relevant, and concrete • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques	The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language: • use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions: • few, if any, errors are present in usage and sentence formation • effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling



Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		
	Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	Conventions
3	The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: • claim is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present • context provided for the claim is adequate	The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end • adequate introduction and conclusion • adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas	The response provides adequate support/evidence for writer's claim that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves some depth and specificity but is predominantly general: • some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise • adequate use of some elaborative techniques	The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions: • some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed • adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling



Sample Generic 4-point Argumentative Writing Rubric (Grades 6-11)					
Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		
	Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	Conventions
2	The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: • may be clearly focused on the claim but is insufficiently sustained • claim on the issue may be somewhat unclear and unfocused	The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of basic transitional strategies with little variety • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end • conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak • weak connection among ideas	The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details, and achieves little depth: • evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques	The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • use of domain-specific vocabulary may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions: • frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning • inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling



Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		
	Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	Conventions
1	The response may be related to the purpose but may offer little relevant detail: may be very brief may have a major drift claim may be confusing or ambiguous	The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • frequent extraneous ideas may intrude	The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • use of evidence from sources is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant	The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary may have little sense of audience and purpose	The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions: • errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscure

^{*}Begins in 7th grade